arXiv:math/0002103v1 [math.NT] 13 Feb 2000

Asymptotic density and the asymptotics of partition functions [*]

Melvyn B. Nathanson [†]
Department of Mathematics
Lehman College (CUNY)
Bronx, New York 10468
e-mail: nathansn@alpha.lehman.cuny.edu

Abstract

Let A be a set of positive integers with $\gcd(A) = 1$, and let $p_A(n)$ be the partition function of A. Let $c_0 = \pi\sqrt{2/3}$. If A has lower asymptotic density α and upper asymptotic density β, then $\liminf \log p_A n/c_0\sqrt{n} \geq \sqrt{\alpha}$ and $\limsup \log p_A(n)/c_0\sqrt{n} \leq \sqrt{\beta}$. In particular, if A has asymptotic density $\alpha > 0$, then $\log p_A(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{\alpha n}$. Conversely, if $\alpha > 0$ and $\log p_A(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{\alpha n}$, then the set A has asymptotic density α.

1 The growth of $p_A(n)$

Let A be a nonempty set of positive integers. The *counting function* $A(x)$ of the set A counts the number of positive elements of A that do not exceed x. Then $0 \leq A(x) \leq x$, and so $0 \leq A(x)/x \leq 1$ for all x. The *lower asymptotic density* of A is

$$d_L(A) = \liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{A(x)}{x}.$$

The *upper asymptotic density* of A is

$$d_U(A) = \limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{A(x)}{x}.$$

We have $0 \leq d_L(A) \leq d_U(A) \leq 1$ for every set A. If $d_L(A) = d_U(A)$, then the limit

$$d(A) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{A(x)}{x}$$

---

[*] 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11P72; Secondary 11P81, 11B82,11B05. Key words and phrases. Partition functions, asymptotics of partitions, inverse theorems for partitions, additive number theory, asymptotic density.

[†] This work was supported in part by grants from the PSC–CUNY Research Award Program and the NSA Mathematical Sciences Program.

exists, and is called the *asymptotic density* of the set A.

A *partition of n with parts in A* is a representation of n as a sum of not necessarily distinct elements of A, where the number of summands is unrestricted. The summands are called the *parts* of the partition. The *partition function* $p_A(n)$ counts the number of partitions of n into parts belonging to the set A. Two partitions that differ only in the order of their parts are counted as the same partition. We define $p_A(0) = 1$ and $p_A(-n) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

The partition function for the set of all positive integers is denoted $p(n)$. Clearly, $0 \leq p_A(n) \leq p(n)$ for every integer n and every set A. A classical result of Hardy and Ramanujan [4] and Uspensky [11] states that

$$\log p(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{n},$$

where

$$c_0 = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{6}}.$$

Erdős [2] has given an elementary proof of this result.

Let $\gcd(A)$ denote the greatest common divisor of the elements of A. If $d = \gcd(A) > 1$, consider the set $A' = \{a/d : a \in A\}$. Then A' is a nonempty set of positive integers such that $\gcd(A') = 1$, and

$$p_A(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}, \\ p_{A'}(n/d) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, it suffices to consider only partition functions for sets A such that $\gcd(A) = 1$.

In this paper we investigate the relationship between the upper and lower asymptotic densities of a set A and the asymptotic behavior of $\log p_A(n)$. In particular, we give a complete and elementary proof of the theorem that, for $\alpha > 0$, the set A has density α if and only if $\log p_A(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{\alpha n}$. This result was stated, with a sketch of a proof, in a beautiful paper of Erdős [2].

Many other results about the asymptotics of partition functions can be found in Andrews [1, Chapter 6] and Odlyzko [8].

2 Some lemmas about partition functions

Lemma 1 *Let A be a set of positive integers. If $p_A(n_0) \geq 1$, then $p_A(n+n_0) \geq p_A(n)$ for every nonnegative integer n.*

Proof. The inequality is true for $n = 0$, since $p_A(n_0) \geq 1 = p_A(0)$. We fix one partition $n_0 = a'_1 + \cdots + a'_r$. Let $n \geq 1$. To every partition

$$n = a_1 + \cdots + a_k$$

we associate the partition

$$n + n_0 = a_1 + \cdots + a_k + a'_1 + \cdots + a'_r.$$

This is a one–to–one map from partitions of n to partitions of $n + n_0$, and so $p_A(n) \leq p_A(n + n_0)$.

Lemma 2 *Let A be a nonempty set of positive integers, and let $a_1 \in A$. For every number $x \geq a_1$ there exists an integer u such that*

$$x - a_1 < u \leq x$$

and

$$\max\{p_A(n) : 0 \leq n \leq x\} = p_A(u).$$

Proof. If $a_1 \in A$, then $p_A(a_1) \geq 1$. By Lemma 1,

$$p_A(n) \leq p_A(n + a_1)$$

for every nonnegative integer n. Therefore, the partition function $p_A(n)$ is increasing in every congruence class modulo a_1. If $0 \leq r \leq a_1 - 1$, then

$$\max\{p_A(n) : 0 \leq n \leq x, n \equiv r \pmod{a_1}\} = p_A(u_r)$$

for some integer $u_r \in (x - a_1, x]$. It follows that

$$\max\{p_A(n) : 0 \leq n \leq x\} = p_A(u),$$

where

$$u = \max\{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{a_1 - 1}\} \in (x - a_1, x].$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3 *Let A be a nonempty finite set of relatively prime positive integers, and let k be the cardinality of A. Let $p_A(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts belonging to A. Then*

$$p_A(n) = \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{a \in A} a} \right) \frac{n^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + O\left(n^{k-2}\right).$$

Proof. This is an old result. The usual proof (Netto [7], Pólya–Szegö [9, Problem 27]) is based on the partial fraction decomposition of the generating function for $p_A(n)$. There is also an arithmetic proof due to Nathanson [6].

Lemma 4 *Let n_0 be a positive integer, and let A be the set of all integers greater than or equal to n_0. Then $p_A(n)$ is increasing for all positive integers n, and strictly increasing for $n \geq 3n_0 + 2$.*

Proof. If $1 \leq n < n_0$, then $p_A(n) = 0$.

We say that a partition $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_r$ has a *unique largest part* if $a_1 > a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r$. Let $n \geq n_0$. Then $p_A(n) \geq 1$ since $n \in A$. To every partition π of n we associate a partition of $n + 1$ by adding 1 to the largest part of π. This is a one–to–one map from the set of all partitions of n and to the set of partitions of $n+1$ with a unique largest part, and so $p_A(n) \leq p_A(n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Let $n \geq 3n_0 + 2$. If $n - n_0$ is even, then $a = (n-n_0)/2 \geq n_0 + 1$, and $n = 2a + n_0$. If $n - n_0$ is odd, then $a = (n - n_0 - 1)/2 \geq n_0 + 1$, and $n = 2a + (n_0 + 1)$. In both cases, $a \in A$. Therefore, if $n \geq 3n_0 + 2$, then there exists a partition of n with parts in A and with no unique largest part, and so $p_A(n) < p_A(n+1)$. This completes the proof.

A set of positive integers is *cofinite* if it contains all but finitely many positive integers.

Lemma 5 *Let A be a cofinite set of positive integers. Then*

$$\log p_A(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{n}.$$

Proof. Since A is cofinite, we can choose an integer $n_0 > 1$ such that A contains the set $A' = \{n \geq n_0\}$. Then

$$p_{A'}(n) \leq p_A(n) \leq p(n).$$

Since $\log p(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{n}$, it suffices to prove that $\log p_{A'}(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{n}$.

Let $F = \{n : 1 \leq n \leq n_0 - 1\}$. Applying Lemma 3 with $k = n_0 - 1$, we obtain a constant $c \geq 1$ such that $p_F(n) \leq cn^{n_0-2}$ for all positive integers n. Each part of a partition of n must belong either to A' or to F, and so every partition of n is uniquely of the form $n = n' + (n - n')$, where n' is a sum of elements of A' and $n - n'$ is a sum of elements of F. By Lemma 4, the partition function $p_{A'}(n)$ is increasing. Let $n \geq n_0$. Then $p_{A'}(n) \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p(n) &= \sum_{n'=0}^{n} p_{A'}(n')p_F(n-n') \\ &\leq cn^{n_0-2}\sum_{n'=0}^{n} p_{A'}(n') \\ &\leq 2cn^{n_0-1}p_{A'}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking logarithms of both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(n) &\leq \log 2c + (n_0 - 1)\log n + \log p_{A'}(n) \\ &\leq \log 2c + (n_0 - 1)\log n + \log p(n) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log p(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} &\leq \frac{\log 2c + (n_0-1)\log n}{c_0\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\log p_{A'}(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \\ &\leq \frac{\log 2c + (n_0-1)\log n}{c_0\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\log p(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as n goes to infinity, we have $\log p_{A'}(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{n}$. This completes the proof.

3 Abelian and tauberian theorems

In this section we derive two results in analysis that will be used in the proof of Theorem 7. To every sequence $B = \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers we can associate the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$. We shall assume that the power series converges for $|x| < 1$. We think of the function $f(x)$ as a kind of average over the sequence B. Roughly speaking, an *abelian theorem* asserts that if the sequence B has some property, then the function $f(x)$ has some related property. Conversely, a *tauberian theorem* asserts that if the function $f(x)$ has some property, then the sequence B has a related property.

The following theorem is abelian.

Theorem 1 *Let $B = \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ converges for $|x| < 1$. If*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log b_n}{\sqrt{n}} \geq 2\sqrt{\alpha}, \tag{1}$$

then

$$\liminf_{x\to 1^-} (1-x)\log f(x) \geq \alpha. \tag{2}$$

If

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log b_n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 2\sqrt{\beta}, \tag{3}$$

then

$$\limsup_{x\to 1^-} (1-x)\log f(x) \leq \beta. \tag{4}$$

In particular, if $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\log b_n \sim 2\sqrt{\alpha n}, \tag{5}$$

then

$$\log f(x) \sim \frac{\alpha}{1-x}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Inequality (1) implies that there exists a positive integer $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$b_n > e^{2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha n}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_0.$$

For $0 < x < 1$, we let $x = e^{-t}$, where $t = t(x) = -\log x > 0$, and t decreases to 0 as x increases to 1.

If $n \geq N_0$, then

$$b_n x^n > e^{2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha n}} e^{-tn} = e^{2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha n} - tn}.$$

Completing the square in the exponent, we obtain

$$2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha n} - tn = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t} - t\left(\sqrt{n} - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha}}{t}\right)^2,$$

and so

$$b_n x^n > e^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t}} e^{-t\left(\sqrt{n}-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha}}{t}\right)^2}.$$

Choose $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t_0^2} > N_0 + 1,$$

and let $x_0 = e^{-t_0} < 1$. If $x_0 < x < 1$ and $x = e^{-t}$, then $0 < t < t_0$. Let

$$n_x = \left[\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t^2}\right].$$

Then

$$N_0 < \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t^2} - 1 < n_x \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t^2}$$

and

$$\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha}}{t} - 1 < \sqrt{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t^2} - 1} < \sqrt{n_x} \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha}}{t}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\sqrt{n_x} - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha}}{t}\right)^2 < 1,$$

and so

$$b_{n_x} x^{n_x} > e^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t}} e^{-t} = e^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t} - t}.$$

Since $b_n x^n \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n \geq b_{n_x} x^{n_x} > e^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t} - t}.$$

Therefore,

$$\log f(x) > \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha}{t} - t$$

and

$$t \log f(x) > (1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha - t^2.$$

Since

$$t = -\log x \sim 1 - x \quad \text{as } x \to 1^-,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{x\to 1^-}(1-x)\log f(x) &= \liminf_{x\to 1^-} t\log f(x) \\
&\geq \liminf_{t\to 0^+}\left((1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha - t^2\right) \\
&= (1-\varepsilon)^2\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and so

$$\liminf_{x\to 1^-}(1-x)\log f(x) \geq \alpha.$$

This proves (2).

If (3) holds, then there exists a positive integer $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$b_n < e^{2(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta n}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_0$$

Let $x = e^{-t}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n \\ &< \sum_{n=0}^{N_0-1} b_n x^n + e^{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2\beta}{t}} \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} e^{-t\left(\sqrt{n}-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t}\right)^2} \\ &= c_1(\varepsilon) + e^{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2\beta}{t}} \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} e^{-t\left(\sqrt{n}-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t}\right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_0-1} b_n x^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_0-1} b_n = c_1(\varepsilon).$$

If

$$n > \left[\frac{16\beta}{t^2}\right] = N_1(t) = N_1,$$

then

$$\sqrt{n} > \frac{4\sqrt{\beta}}{t} > \frac{2(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t}$$

and

$$\sqrt{n} - \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t} > \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$e^{-t\left(\sqrt{n}-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t}\right)^2} < e^{-t\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right)^2} = e^{-\frac{tn}{4}},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N_1+1}^{\infty} e^{-t\left(\sqrt{n}-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t}\right)^2} &< \sum_{n=N_1+1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{tn}{4}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-t(N_1+1)/4}}{1-e^{-t/4}} \\ &< \frac{8e^{-4\beta/t}}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

since $1 - t/4 < e^{-t/4} < 1 - t/8$ for $0 < t < 1$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n=N_0}^{N_1} e^{-t\left(\sqrt{n}-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta}}{t}\right)^2} < N_1 \leq \frac{16\beta}{t^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\leq c_1(\varepsilon) + e^{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2\beta}{t}} \left(\frac{16\sqrt{\beta}}{t^2} + \frac{8e^{-4\beta/t}}{t} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c_2(\varepsilon) e^{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2\beta}{t}}}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\log f(x) \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2\beta}{t} + \log \frac{c_2(\varepsilon)}{t^2},$$

and so

$$t \log f(x) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2\beta + t \log \frac{c_2(\varepsilon)}{t^2}.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{x\to 1^-} (1-x)\log f(x) = \limsup_{t\to 0^+} t \log f(x) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2\beta.$$

This inequality is true for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and so

$$\limsup_{x\to 1^-} (1-x)\log f(x) \leq \beta.$$

This proves (4).

If (5) holds, that is, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log b_n}{2\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{\alpha} > 0,$$

then (1) and (3) hold with $\alpha = \beta$. These inequalities imply (2) and (4), and so

$$\lim_{x\to 1^-} (1-x)\log f(x) = \alpha,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\log f(x) \sim \frac{\alpha}{1-x}.$$

This completes the proof.

The statement that (5) implies (6) appears in Erdős [2].

The following tauberian theorem generalizes a well–known result of Hardy and Littlewood [3].

Theorem 2 *Let $B = \{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that the power series*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$$

converges for $|x| < 1$. Let

$$S_B(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k.$$

Let $c > 0$. *If*

$$\limsup_{x\to 1^-}(1-x)f(x) \leq c, \tag{7}$$

then

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_B(n)}{n} \leq c. \tag{8}$$

If

$$\liminf_{x\to 1^-}(1-x)f(x) \geq c, \tag{9}$$

then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_B(n)}{n} \geq c. \tag{10}$$

In particular, if

$$f(x) \sim \frac{c}{1-x} \qquad \text{as } x \to 1^-, \tag{11}$$

then

$$S_B(n) \sim cn. \tag{12}$$

Proof. The Hardy–Littlewood theorem states that (11) implies (12). The proofs that (7) implies (8) and that (9) implies (10) require only a simple modification of Karamata's method, as presented in Titchmarsh [10, Chapter 7].

4 Direct and inverse theorems for $p_A(n)$

A *direct theorem* uses information about the sequence A to deduce properties of the partition function $p_A(n)$. An *inverse theorem* uses information about the partition function $p_A(n)$ to deduce properties of the sequence A. We begin with a direct theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let* A *be an infinite set of positive integers with* $\gcd(A) = 1$. *If* $d_L(A) \geq \alpha$, *then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \geq \sqrt{\alpha}.$$

If $d_U(A) \leq \beta$, *then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \leq \sqrt{\beta}.$$

Proof. Let $A = \{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots$. Since $\gcd(A) = 1$, there is an integer ℓ_0 such that $\gcd\{a_k : 1 \leq k \leq \ell_0 - 1\} = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $d_U(A) \leq \beta$, there exists an integer $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon) \geq \ell_0$ such that, for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$\frac{k}{a_k} = \frac{A(a_k)}{a_k} < \beta + \varepsilon,$$

and so

$$k < (\beta + \varepsilon)a_k.$$

Let $A' = \{a_k \in A : k \geq k_0\}$ and $F = A \setminus A' = \{a_k \in A : 1 \leq k \leq k_0 - 1\}$.

Let n and n' be positive integers, $n' \leq n$, and let

$$n' = a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} + \cdots + a_{k_r}$$

be a partition of n' with parts in A'. Then $k_i \geq k_0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. To this partition of n' we associate the partition

$$m = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_r.$$

Since $k_i < (\beta + \varepsilon)a_{k_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m &< (\beta+\varepsilon)a_{k_1} + (\beta+\varepsilon)a_{k_2} + \cdots + (\beta+\varepsilon)a_{k_r} \\ &= (\beta+\varepsilon)n' \\ &\leq (\beta+\varepsilon)n. \end{aligned}$$

This is a one–to–one mapping from partitions of n' with parts in A' to partitions of integers less than $(\beta+\varepsilon)n$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} p_{A'}(n') &\leq \sum_{m<(\beta+\varepsilon)n} p(m) \\ &\leq (\beta+\varepsilon)n \max\{p(m) : m < (\beta+\varepsilon)n\} \\ &\leq (\beta+\varepsilon)np([(\beta+\varepsilon)n]) \\ &< 2np([(\beta+\varepsilon)n]), \end{aligned}$$

since the unrestricted partition function $p(n)$ is strictly increasing.

We have $A = A' \cup F$, where $A' \cap F = \emptyset$. The set F is a nonempty finite set of integers of cardinality $k_0 - 1$, and $\gcd(F) = 1$ since $k_0 \geq \ell_0$. By Theorem 3, there exists a constant c such that

$$p_F(n) \leq cn^{k_0-2}$$

for every positive integer n. Every partition of n with parts in A can be decomposed uniquely into a partition of n' with parts in A' and a partition of $n - n'$ with parts in F, for some nonnegative integer $n' \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_A(n) &= \sum_{n'=0}^{n} p_{A'}(n')p_F(n-n') \\ &\leq cn^{k_0-2} \sum_{n'=0}^{n} p_{A'}(n') \\ &\leq cn^{k_0-2}(n+1) \max\{p_{A'}(n') : n' = 0, 1, \ldots, n\} \\ &\leq 2cn^{k_0-1} \max\{p_{A'}(n') : n' = 0, 1, \ldots, n\} \\ &< 2cn^{k_0-1} 2np([(\beta+\varepsilon)n]) \\ &= 4cn^{k_0}p([(\beta+\varepsilon)n]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log p(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{n}$, it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\log p(n) < (1+\varepsilon)c_0\sqrt{n}$$

for $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\log p_A(n) &\leq \log 4c + k_0 \log n + \log p([(\beta+\varepsilon)n]) \\ &< \log 4c + k_0 \log n + (1+\varepsilon)c_0\sqrt{(\beta+\varepsilon)n}\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq (n_0(\varepsilon)+1)/(\beta+\varepsilon)$. Dividing by $c_0\sqrt{n}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{\log 4c + k_0 \log n}{c_0\sqrt{n}} + (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta+\varepsilon},$$

and so

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\beta+\varepsilon}.$$

Since this inequality is true for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \leq \sqrt{\beta}.$$

Next we prove that if $d_L(A) \geq \alpha$, then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \geq \sqrt{\alpha}.$$

This inequality is trivial if $\alpha = 0$, since $\log p_A(n)/c_0\sqrt{n} \geq 0$ for all sufficiently large n.

Let $\alpha > 0$ and

$$0 < \varepsilon < \alpha.$$

There exists an integer $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon)$ such that, for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$\frac{k}{a_k} = \frac{A(a_k)}{a_k} > \alpha - \varepsilon,$$

and so

$$a_k < \frac{k}{\alpha - \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\gcd(A) = 1$, every sufficiently large integer can be written as a sum of elements of A, and so there exists an integer N_0 such that $p_A(n) \geq 1$ for all $n \geq N_0$. Let $p'(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $k \geq k_0$. To every partition

$$n = k_1 + \cdots + k_r \qquad \text{with } k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_r \geq k_0,$$

we associate the partition

$$m = a_{k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_r}.$$

Then
$$m < \frac{k_1}{\alpha - \varepsilon} + \cdots + \frac{k_1}{\alpha - \varepsilon} = \frac{n}{\alpha - \varepsilon}.$$
This is a one–to–one mapping from partitions of n with parts greater than or equal to k_0 to partitions of integers m less than $n/(\alpha - \varepsilon)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} p'(n) &\leq \sum_{m < \frac{n}{\alpha-\varepsilon}} p_A(m) \\ &\leq \frac{n}{\alpha - \varepsilon} \max \left\{ p_A(m) : m < \frac{n}{\alpha - \varepsilon} \right\} \\ &< \frac{n}{\alpha - \varepsilon} p_A(u_n), \end{aligned}$$

where, by Lemma 2 (since $a_1 \in A$), the integer u_n belongs to the bounded interval
$$\frac{n}{\alpha - \varepsilon} - a_1 < u_n \leq \frac{n}{\alpha - \varepsilon}.$$
The sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not necessarily increasing, but

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \infty.$$

Let d be the unique positive integer such that

$$0 < (\alpha - \varepsilon)a_1 \leq d < (\alpha - \varepsilon)a_1 + 1.$$

For every $i, j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(i+j)d} - u_{id} &> \left(\frac{(i+j)d}{\alpha - \varepsilon} - a_1 \right) - \frac{id}{\alpha - \varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{jd}{\alpha - \varepsilon} - a_1 \\ &\geq (j-1)a_1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u_{(i+1)d} > u_{id}$, and so the sequence $\{u_{id}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(i+j)d} - u_{id} &< \frac{(i+j)d}{\alpha - \varepsilon} - \left(\frac{id}{\alpha - \varepsilon} - a_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{jd}{\alpha - \varepsilon} + a_1 \\ &< (j+1)a_1 + \frac{j}{\alpha - \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Let j_0 be the unique integer such that

$$\frac{N_0}{a_1} + 1 \leq j_0 < \frac{N_0}{a_1} + 2.$$

Then

$$u_{id} - u_{(i-j_0)d} > (j_0 - 1)a_1 \geq N_0$$

for all $i \geq j_0$.

For every integer $n \geq j_0 d$ there exists a unique integer $\ell \geq j_0$ such that

$$u_{\ell d} \leq n < u_{(\ell+1)d}.$$

Then

$$n - u_{(\ell-j_0)d} < u_{(\ell+1)d} - u_{(\ell-j_0)d} < (j_0 + 2)d + \frac{j_0 + 1}{\alpha - \varepsilon}$$

and

$$n - u_{(\ell-j_0)d} \geq u_{\ell d} - u_{(\ell-j_0)d} > N_0.$$

Since

$$p_A(n - u_{(\ell-j_0)d}) \geq 1,$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$p_A(n) \geq p_A(u_{(\ell-j_0)d}) > \left(\frac{\alpha - \varepsilon}{(\ell - j_0)d}\right) p'((\ell - j_0)d).$$

Since

$$n < u_{(\ell+1)d} \leq \frac{(\ell + 1)d}{\alpha - \varepsilon},$$

it follows that

$$(\ell - j_0)d > (\alpha - \varepsilon)n - (j_0 + 1)d.$$

Since $p'(n)$ is the partition function of a cofinite subset of the positive integers, Lemma 5 implies that for n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log p_A(n) &> \log p'((\ell - j_0)d) + \log(\alpha - \varepsilon) - \log(\ell - j_0)d \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon)c_0\sqrt{(\ell - j_0)d} + \log(\alpha - \varepsilon) - \log(\ell - j_0)d \\
&> (1 - \varepsilon)c_0\sqrt{(\alpha - \varepsilon)n - (j_0 + 1)d} + \log(\alpha - \varepsilon) - \log(\ell - j_0)d.
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $c_0\sqrt{n}$, we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\sqrt{\alpha - \varepsilon}.$$

This inequality holds for $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$, and so

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \geq \sqrt{\alpha}.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4 *Let A be a set of positive integers with* $\gcd(A) = 1$. *If* $d(A) = \alpha > 0$, *then* $\log p_A(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{\alpha n}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3 with $\alpha = \beta$.

Theorem 5 *Let* $a_1, \ldots, a_\ell, m$ *be integers such that*

$$1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_\ell \leq m$$

and

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_\ell, m) = 1.$$

Let A *be the set of all positive integers* a *such that* $a \equiv a_i \pmod{m}$ *for some* $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. *Then*

$$\log p_A(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{\frac{\ell n}{m}}.$$

Proof. The set A satisfies $\gcd(A) = 1$ and $d(A) = \ell/m$, and so the result follows from Theorem 4 with $\alpha = \ell/m$. Using Erdős's elementary method, Nathanson [5] has also given a direct proof of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6 *Let* A *be a set of positive integers with* $\gcd(A) = 1$. *If* $d(A) = 0$, *then* $\log p_A(n) = o(\sqrt{n})$.

Proof. If A is infinite, this follows from Theorem 3 with $\beta = 0$. If A is finite, this follows from Lemma 3.

The next result is an inverse theorem; it shows how the growth of the partition function $p_A(n)$ determines the asymptotic density of the sequence A.

Theorem 7 *Let* A *be an infinite set of positive integers with* $\gcd(A) = 1$. *If* $\alpha > 0$ *and*

$$\log p_A(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{\alpha n} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 \alpha n}{6}}, \tag{13}$$

then A *has asymptotic density* α.

Proof. The generating function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_A(n) x^n = \prod_{a \in A} (1 - x^a)^{-1}$$

converges for $|x| < 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x) &= -\sum_{a \in A} \log(1 - x^a) \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{ak}}{k} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell x^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_\ell = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ \ell = ak}} \frac{1}{k} \geq 0.$$

Let

$$S_B(x) = \sum_{\ell \leq x} b_\ell.$$

Then $S_B(x) \geq 0$ for all x, and $S_B(x) = 0$ if $x < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_B(n) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} b_\ell = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ \ell = ak}} \frac{1}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ a \leq n/k}} 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} A\left(\frac{n}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Möbius inversion, we have

$$A(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} S_B\left(\frac{n}{k}\right).$$

By Theorem 1, the asymptotic formula (13) implies that

$$(1-x)\log f(x) \sim \frac{\pi^2 \alpha}{6} \qquad \text{as } x \to 1^-.$$

Theorem 2 implies that

$$S_B(n) \sim \frac{\pi^2 \alpha n}{6}.$$

We define the function $r(x)$ by

$$\frac{S_B(x)}{x} = \frac{\pi^2 \alpha}{6} + r(x).$$

Then $r(x) = o(x)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) > e^2$ such that

$$|r(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \geq n_0$. If $k > n/n_0$, then $n/k < n_0$ and $0 \leq S_B(n/k) \leq S_B(n_0)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} S_B\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} S_B\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) + \sum_{n/n_0 < k \leq n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} S_B\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 \alpha n}{6} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} + n \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} r\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n/n_0 < k \leq n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} S_B\left(\frac{n}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We evaluate these three terms separately. Since

$$\sum_{1\leq k\leq n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} - \sum_{k>n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} + O\left(\frac{n_0}{n}\right),$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\pi^2 \alpha n}{6} \sum_{1\leq k\leq n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} = \alpha n + O\left(1\right).$$

The second term satisfies

$$\left| n \sum_{1\leq k\leq n/n_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} r\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon n \sum_{1\leq k\leq n/n_0} \frac{1}{k^2} = O(\varepsilon n).$$

The last term is bounded independent of n, since

$$\left| \sum_{n/n_0<k\leq n} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} S_B\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \right| \leq S_B(n_0) \sum_{n/n_0<k\leq n} \frac{1}{k} \leq 2S_B(n_0)\log n_0 = O(1).$$

Therefore,

$$A(n) = \alpha n + O(\varepsilon n) + O(1),$$

and so $d(A) = \alpha$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 8 *Let A be a set of positive integers with* $\gcd(A) = 1$*, and let* $\alpha > 0$*. Then* $d(A) = \alpha$ *if and only if*

$$\log p_A(n) \sim c_0\sqrt{\alpha n}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 3 and Theorem 7.

Remark. Let A be an infinite set of positive integers with $\gcd(A) = 1$. Let α and β be nonnegative real numbers such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \geq \sqrt{\alpha}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log p_A(n)}{c_0\sqrt{n}} \leq \sqrt{\beta}.$$

Does it follow that $d_L(A) \geq \alpha$ and $d_U(A) \leq \beta$? This would imply that $d_L(A) = \alpha$ if and only if $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \log p_A(n)/c_0\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{\alpha}$, and $d_U(A) = \beta$ if and only if $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \log p_A(n)/c_0\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{\beta}$.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews. *The Theory of Partitions.* Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1976.

[2] P. Erdős. On an elementary proof of some asymptotic formulas in the theory of partitions. *Annals Math.*, 43:437–450, 1942.

[3] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. Tauberian theorems concerning power series and Dirichlet's series whose coefficients are positive. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 13:174–191, 1914.

[4] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan. Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 17:75–115, 1918.

[5] M. B. Nathanson. On Erdős's elementary method in the asymptotic theory of partitions. Preprint, 1998.

[6] M. B. Nathanson. Partitions with parts in a finite set. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2000. To appear.

[7] E. Netto. *Lehrbuch der Combinatorik.* Teubner, Leipzig, 1927.

[8] A. Odlyzko. Asymptotic enumeration methods. In R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, editors, *Handbook of Combinatorics*, volume II. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.

[9] G. Pólya and G. Szegö. *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1925. English translation: *Problems and Theorems in Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[10] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The Theory of Functions.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2nd edition, 1939.

[11] Ya. V. Uspensky. Asymptotic expressions of numerical functions occurring in problems concerning the partition of numbers into summands. *Bull. Acad. Sci. de Russie*, 14(6):199–218, 1920.